



Hardware and Software Resolution For a Pointing Device

INTRODUCTION

The rated differences in pointing device resolution can be confusing to the user; this application note describes the method for calculating hardware resolution of a pointing device that incorporates Microchip's MTA41XXX Mouse Controller. It also includes an explanation of the software controlled resolution for these same devices. Mice and trackball resolution is rated in terms of DPI (Dots Per Inch). This resolution may be controlled via hardware or software, but specific differences exist in each case.

THEORY OF OPERATION


The basic hardware resolution or DPI of a mouse can be found using the following formula:

DPI = Rev per inch_roller * Logic states per rev

Refer to Figure 1 for a visual representation of the following material. A standard motion translator for mice is the use of two slotted wheels, one each for horizontal and vertical direction. Also, there are two optical receivers per slotted wheel. As the slotted wheel turns, infrared beams of light are alternately transmitted and blocked, thereby sending a series of ones and zeros to the optical transistor receivers. The two optical receivers are offset from each other such that the resulting signals are 90° out of phase. This phase difference results in two distinctly separate signals. The controller interprets what direction the mouse is moving along either axis by the order in which it receives these two signals. It should be noted here that the number of closed slots or bars on the wheel is equal to the number of open slots. From this information, the number of logic states per revolution is calculated as follows:

Logic states per rev = Optical RCVRS_wheel * (Windows_wheel + Bars_wheel)

FIGURE 1 - TYPICAL ELECTRO-MECHANICAL MOUSE OPERATION



PS/2 is a registered trademark of IBM Corp. Microsoft is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corp. Windows is a trademark of Microsoft Corp. Apple is a registered trademark of Apple Computer, Inc.

Hardware and Software Resolution for a Pointing Device

Before we can calculate the number of roller revolutions per inch of mouse travel, the number of ball revolutions per inch of mouse travel must first be calculated. This is accomplished below by dividing the ball circumference, or pi times the ball diameter, into the unit of interest.

$$\text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{ball}} = 1\text{inch}/\text{Circumference}_{\text{ball}}$$

At this point, we can see that the number of roller revolutions per inch of mouse travel can be found by multiplying the number of ball revolutions per inch times the ratio derived from dividing ball circumference by roller circumference.

$$\text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{roller}} = \text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{ball}} * (\text{Circumference}_{\text{ball}}/\text{Circumference}_{\text{roller}})$$

Refer to Appendix A for an example of the above calculations using typical mouse specifications. Table 1 includes actual specifications and resulting DPI calculations using the information in this note.

SOFTWARE MODIFICATION OF DEVICE RESOLUTION

Now that the foundation for the basic physical (true) resolution of the mouse or trackball has been established, let's explore how this can be modified with software running on the host computer (device driver), or by the mouse controller. It should be noted here that most graphical user software is designed to operate most efficiently within the 200-400 DPI range.

Since the basic resolution of the device is set by the tracking system, it cannot be increased by software. However, software can provide true lower resolutions by applying fractional gain factors (e.g. 1/4, 1/2) to the actual count reported by the encoders. Software can also provide variable or fixed scaling factors that multiply the actual count (e.g. 2, 4, 8). Refer to Table 2 for some examples of software fractional gain and scaling effects on the hardware resolution of different pointing devices. These scaling factors are sometimes mistakenly interpreted as having the ability to increase the true (hardware) resolution. In general, software scaling factors

that increased the number of counts reported per inch of mouse movement contribute to a loss of granularity, especially if a fixed scalar is applied. Variable scalars (often referred to as ballistic gain) that apply a multiplier based on the number of incoming counts can supply increased or decreased counts per inch without a degradation in granularity at low mouse velocities. The primary purpose of these scaling and resolution modes is to alter the mouse's motion sensitivity to suit the individual user.

If given a command from the host or some other means (e.g. hardware switch on the mouse), the mouse controller can also perform these tasks. The MTA41110 supports the command method, which is the most efficient in terms of hardware cost. When the MTA41300 is configured for the RS232 interface option, it is a transmit-only device, thereby not supporting the host-command mode. In the PS/2® interface mode the MTA41300 controller will respond to the PS/2 "Set Resolution" command. However, this response is only for software compatibility purposes, and the true resolution remains fixed.

The MTA41110 implements the complete IBM PS/2 specification, including the software fractional gain and variable scaling modes. The host driver software must be capable of issuing commands (e.g. "Set Resolution", "Set Scaling", etc.) to the MTA41110 controller in order for the user to benefit from these built-in firmware functions. The MTA41300 is a fixed-resolution device and any desired software resolution and scaling modes must be performed by the driver software.

Software gain and scaling modes can also be implemented by the device driver software that runs on the host computer. This method is very efficient since it only requires a small amount of additional code, which executes on the host system. Users also gain access to a wider variety of fractional gain and scaling factors than can be cost-effectively implemented in the mouse controller. The mouse control panel under Microsoft® Windows™ is a good example of such host software-controlled gain and scaling factors.

TABLE 1

	IBM PS/2 Mouse	Microsoft Mouse	Apple® Mouse	Trackball
Ball Diameter	0.86 in	0.87 in	0.86 in	2.25 in
Ball Circumference	2.702 in	2.73 in	2.702 in	7.07 in
Roller Diameter	0.25 in	0.196 in	0.155 in	0.30 in
Roller Circumference	0.785 in	0.62 in	0.487 in	0.94 in
Ball Revolutions Per Inch	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.14
Roller Revolutions Per Inch	1.273	1.63	2.054	1.05
Windows Per Wheel	40	64	24	24
Bars Per Wheel	40	64	24	24
Logic States Per Revolution	160	256	96	96
Dots Per Inch	203.718	417.07	197.147	101.09

Hardware and Software Resolution for a Pointing Device

As a general note, the PS/2 specification may be somewhat confusing regarding device resolution. It specifies software-implemented resolution modes for a PS/2 system, including the encoder hardware. Also, it defines software commands, sent to the controller from the host, in terms of this absolute resolution. For example, the options for the "Set Resolution" commands are 1, 2, 4 and 8 counts per millimeter (25, 50, 100 and 200 DPI). These options actually instruct the mouse controller to divide the incoming count by 8, 4, 2, or 1 respectively, then report the divided count to the host. The actual hardware resolution of the IBM PS/2 mouse is 200 DPI. Therefore, for mice whose hardware resolutions are not 200 DPI, the "Set Resolution" command will not be the actual available resolution, rather the available resolutions will be ratioed relative to a 200 DPI (hardware) mouse.

DYNAMIC RESPONSE

There is a maximum linear velocity at which the mouse can be moved and be 100 percent effective. Operating the mouse faster than this will result in missed quadrature information. This section will show the derivation of that maximum velocity.

According to the MTA41110 data sheet, the HOR and VERT outputs are sampled at ~8700 samples per second.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sample Rate} &= \text{Frequency} \\ \text{Period} &= 1/\text{Frequency} = 1/8700 \text{ Hz} = 114.94 \mu\text{sec} \end{aligned}$$

The hardware interface of the Microsoft mouse which was referred to earlier will be used as the example for this discussion. It has 64 slots per wheel, which results in 256 logic states per wheel revolution. The equation below displays the method for calculating the wheel's maximum rotation rate.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Period}_{\text{wheel}} &= (256 * 114.94 \mu\text{sec}) = 29.42 \text{ msec} \\ \text{Frequency}_{\text{wheel}} &= 1/\text{Period}_{\text{wheel}} = 33.98 \text{ rev/sec} \end{aligned}$$

Since the roller and wheel are attached on the same shaft in a one-to-one relationship, the frequency of the roller must also equal 33.98 revolutions per second.

The velocity of the roller can then be found with the following equation. Remember, the roller radius is .098 inches. Refer to the Microsoft mouse section in Table 1 for this information.

$$\text{Velocity}_{\text{roller}} = 2 * \text{Radius}_{\text{roller}} * \text{Frequency}_{\text{roller}} = 20.9 \text{ in/sec}$$

Because there is a direct correlation between mouse travel and travel along the surface of the tracking ball, the velocity of the mouse can also not exceed 20.9 inches per second.

CONCLUSION

From the calculations in the body of this note, it is shown that the mouse controller has no effect on the resolution of the mouse or trackball. DPI is completely a function of mechanical design.

APPENDIX A

These detailed calculations show how the resolution of a typical mouse is determined. They show how the physical design of the motion tracking system (encoder wheels and tracking rollers) determine the basic (hardware) resolution of the mouse. This analysis assumes that the mouse controller can report one count to the system for each logic transition at the motion encoders. This applies directly to the MTA41300 and MTA41110 Mouse and Trackball controllers since they both contain modes that report one count for each motion encoder state. The MTA41110 also contains software resolution modes which will be discussed later in this analysis.

First, let's begin by sizing a typical tracking ball and roller. The roller is a small diameter wheel placed in contact with the main ball.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diameter}_{\text{ball}} &= 0.86 \text{ in} \\ \text{Circumference}_{\text{ball}} &= \pi * \text{Diameter}_{\text{ball}} = 2.702 \text{ in} \\ \text{Diameter}_{\text{roller}} &= 0.159 \text{ in} \\ \text{Circumference}_{\text{roller}} &= \pi * \text{Diameter}_{\text{roller}} = 0.5 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

Now let's see how many revolutions the tracking roller makes in one inch of mouse movement.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{ball}} &= 1 \text{ inch} / \text{Circumference}_{\text{ball}} = 0.37 \\ \text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{roller}} &= \text{Revolution per inch}_{\text{ball}} * \\ &(\text{Circumference}_{\text{ball}} / \text{Circumference}_{\text{roller}}) = 2.002 \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 2

	True DPI	x2	x4	x0.5	x0.25
IBM PS/2 Mouse	200	400	800	100	50
Microsoft Mouse	400	800	1600	200	100
Apple Mouse	200	400	800	100	50
Trackball	100	200	400	50	25

NOTES: The examples given in this application note are:

1. Hardware examples for illustration purposes only.
2. Do not imply the use of MTA41XXX devices by the respective manufacturers.

Hardware and Software Resolution for a Pointing Device

Notice that the size of the tracking ball has no effect on the number of revolutions the tracking roller makes in one inch. This is because one inch of mouse movement corresponds directly to one inch of movement along the circumference of the ball. However, roller size does affect basic resolution.

Let's examine the physical design of some normal and high resolution encoders, and see why they are a key component in determining basic resolution. The roller and an encoder wheel are usually mounted axially on a single shaft, resulting in a one-to-one relationship between the roller and encoder wheel.

Optical receivers per wheel = 2

To determine the direction of movement, quadrature output is needed from the encoders. Standard industry practice is to use two optical detectors (e.g. photo-transistors) for this purpose.

Windows per wheel = 25

Bars per wheel = 25

The number of windows and bars in the encoder wheels determines the number of pulses per revolution from the encoder.

Now let's calculate the basic physical revolution in DPI (Dots Per Inch)

$$\text{Logic states per rev} = \text{Optical Rcvrs}_{\text{wheel}} * (\text{Windows}_{\text{wheel}} + \text{Bars}_{\text{wheel}}) = 100$$

The basic resolution in DPI can now be calculated as:

$$\text{DPI} = \text{Rev per inch}_{\text{roller}} * \text{Logic states per rev} = 200.195$$

Now let's analyze a typical high resolution mouse. Typically, "high" resolution mice and trackballs contain more windows in the encoder wheels. This results in higher resolution since more pulses are created for each revolution of the wheel.

Windows per wheel = 50

Bars per wheel = 50

$$\text{Logic states per rev} = \text{Optical Rcvrs}_{\text{wheel}} * (\text{Windows}_{\text{wheel}} + \text{Bars}_{\text{wheel}}) = 200$$

$$\text{DPI} = \text{Rev per inch}_{\text{roller}} * \text{Logic states per rev} = 400.39$$

Doubling the number of windows in the encoder wheel(s) converts a true 200DPI mouse into a true 400 DPI mouse.

WORLDWIDE SALES & SERVICE

AMERICAS

Corporate Office

Microchip Technology Inc.
2355 West Chandler Blvd.
Chandler, AZ 85224-6199
Tel: 602 786-7200 Fax: 602 786-7277
Technical Support: 602 786-7627
Web: <http://www.mchip.com/microhip>

Atlanta

Microchip Technology Inc.
500 Sugar Mill Road, Suite 200B
Atlanta, GA 30350
Tel: 770 640-0034 Fax: 770 640-0307

Boston

Microchip Technology Inc.
5 Mount Royal Avenue
Marlborough, MA 01752
Tel: 508 480-9990 Fax: 508 480-8575

Chicago

Microchip Technology Inc.
333 Pierce Road, Suite 180
Itasca, IL 60143
Tel: 708 285-0071 Fax: 708 285-0075

Dallas

Microchip Technology Inc.
14651 Dallas Parkway, Suite 816
Dallas, TX 75240-8809
Tel: 214 991-7177 Fax: 214 991-8588

Dayton

Microchip Technology Inc.
35 Rockridge Road
Englewood, OH 45322
Tel: 513 832-2543 Fax: 513 832-2841

Los Angeles

Microchip Technology Inc.
18201 Von Karman, Suite 455
Irvine, CA 92715
Tel: 714 263-1888 Fax: 714 263-1338

New York

Microchip Technology Inc.
150 Motor Parkway, Suite 416
Hauppauge, NY 11788
Tel: 516 273-5305 Fax: 516 273-5335

AMERICAS (continued)

San Jose

Microchip Technology Inc.
2107 North First Street, Suite 590
San Jose, CA 95131
Tel: 408 436-7950 Fax: 408 436-7955

ASIA/PACIFIC

Hong Kong

Microchip Technology
Unit No. 3002-3004, Tower 1
Metroplaza
223 Hing Fong Road
Kwai Fong, N.T. Hong Kong
Tel: 852 2 401 1200 Fax: 852 2 401 3431

Korea

Microchip Technology
168-1, Youngbo Bldg. 3 Floor
Samsung-Dong, Kangnam-Ku,
Seoul, Korea
Tel: 82 2 554 7200 Fax: 82 2 558 5934

Singapore

Microchip Technology
200 Middle Road
#10-03 Prime Centre
Singapore 188980
Tel: 65 334 8870 Fax: 65 334 8850

Taiwan

Microchip Technology
10F-1C 207
Tung Hua North Road
Taipei, Taiwan, ROC
Tel: 886 2 717 7175 Fax: 886 2 545 0139

EUROPE

United Kingdom

Arizona Microchip Technology Ltd.
Unit 6, The Courtyard
Meadow Bank, Furlong Road
Bourne End, Buckinghamshire SL8 5AJ
Tel: 44 0 1628 851077 Fax: 44 0 1628 850259

France

Arizona Microchip Technology SARL
2 Rue du Buisson aux Fraises
91300 Massy - France
Tel: 33 1 69 53 63 20 Fax: 33 1 69 30 90 79

Germany

Arizona Microchip Technology GmbH
Gustav-Heinemann-Ring 125
D-81739 Muenchen, Germany
Tel: 49 89 627 144 0 Fax: 49 89 627 144 44

Italy

Arizona Microchip Technology SRL
Centro Direzionale Colleoni
Palazzo Pegaso Ingresso No. 2
Via Paracelso 23, 20041
Agrate Brianza (MI) Italy
Tel: 39 039 689 9939 Fax: 39 039 689 9883

JAPAN

Microchip Technology Intl. Inc.
Benex S-1 6F
3-18-20, Shin Yokohama
Kohoku-Ku, Yokohama
Kanagawa 222 Japan
Tel: 81 45 471 6166 Fax: 81 45 471 6122

9/22/95

All rights reserved. © 1995, Microchip Technology Incorporated, USA.

Information contained in this publication regarding device applications and the like is intended through suggestion only and may be superseded by updates. No representation or warranty is given and no liability is assumed by Microchip Technology Incorporated with respect to the accuracy or use of such information, or infringement of patents or other intellectual property rights arising from such use or otherwise. Use of Microchip's products as critical components in life support systems is not authorized except with express written approval by Microchip. No licenses are conveyed, implicitly or otherwise, under any intellectual property rights. The Microchip logo and name are registered trademarks of Microchip Technology Inc. All rights reserved. All other trademarks mentioned herein are the property of their respective companies.